



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ART. II.—*The Páramitá-hṛdaya Sūtra, or, in Chinese, “Mo-ho-pô-ye-po-lo-mih-to-sin-king,” i.e., “The Great Páramitá Heart Sūtra.”* Translated from the Chinese by the Rev. S. BEAL, Chaplain, R.N.

[Presented December, 1863.]

THIS Sūtra consists of about two hundred and fifty characters. It is repeated in the course of the daily worship of the Buddhists, by rote, as a mantra would be repeated (according to Colebrooke, pp. 8, 9, *Relig. of Hindoos*,) by the Hindoos. In its composition it resembles, or appears to resemble, the sacred writings of the Brahmins. No author's name is attached to it. It does not even begin with the usual preface “thus have I heard” (*evam mayá śrutam*). But we have mentioned in it the Rishi to whom it was communicated, and the Devatá from whom it proceeded. In this particular, at any rate, it strongly resembles the Vedic model. And when we recollect that the later Buddhists attempted in every possible way to absorb the system of the Brahmins in their own, yielding so far as they dared to popular superstitions, we shall not wonder in finding so many similarities, in externals at least, between the two religions.

From its brevity we may suppose that this Sūtra is a condensed form of the larger Páramitá works, abbreviated for the sake of frequent repetition, or, it is possible, that the larger works are but an expansion of this or some other equally curt production (*Wassiljew, Der Buddhismus*, s. 145).

This Sūtra was probably the first translated by the celebrated pilgrim Hiouen Thsang. At any rate, it stands first in the authorized Chinese collection. Some interest attaches to it, moreover, on account of the numerous commentaries on its text, which have been published by a succession of learned Chinese priests. This work is the key, as it were, to the

doctrines of the contemplative or mystic school of Buddhists. This school has taken firm root in the southern districts of China. Hence we find that the most numerous and important editions of the "Heart Súra" have issued from monasteries in the southern provinces. The most ample, and perhaps most learned (if *tedjium* is a proof of learning) commentary I have met with is that of a priest (Chan sse) called Tai Teën. He was the instructor of a celebrated person, called Han-chang-li, otherwise named Han U, or Han-wen-kung, who was vice-president of the Board of Punishment during the reign of the 11th Emperor of the Tang dynasty. "This officer was originally a strict Confucianist. The Emperor had sent (A.D. 819) some mandarins to escort a bone of Buddha from a place called Fung-tsian-fu, in the province of Shensi, to the capital. All the court, common people, eunuchs and ladies, vied with each other in their idolatrous adoration of this relic. Han-chang-li, however, indignant at their conduct, took this opportunity of presenting a strongly worded remonstrance to the Emperor, which he styled 'Fuh-kuh-hin,' *i.e.*, Memorial on the bone of Buddha. For this honest exposition of his feelings, he was degraded from his post, and appointed prefect of a distant department, called Chiu Chau, in the province of Kwang Tung." After a year's residence in this place he fell sick, and was thus brought in contact with a priest called Tai Teen. To him the exiled mandarin confided his thoughts. A lasting and close friendship ensued. The consequence was, that the celebrated Han-chang-li became a believer in the Buddhist doctrine he had once despised and protested against. We may reasonably suppose that the "Heart Súra" of Tai Teen was the subject of frequent and earnest consideration with this conscientious officer; and as his appeal against the worship of the relic of Buddha is still authoritatively published and read to the common people, to dissuade them from such superstitions, the fact of the author of that tract having himself become a Buddhist through a consideration of the Súra we now are about to translate, becomes at least an interesting circumstance in connection with it.

The text and commentary of Tai Teën, which I have used, were republished in 1850 by a scholar (Tau jin), named Woo Tsing Tseu.

Avalokiteśvara. [The Devatā of the Sūtra.]

When the Prajñā Pāramitā has been fully practised, then we clearly behold that the five skandha are all empty, vain, and unreal. So it is we escape the possibility of sorrow or obstruction.

Śāriputra. [The Rishi of the Sūtra.]

That which we call form (rūpa) is not different from that which we call space (ākāśa). Space is not different from form. Form is the same as space. Space is the same as form.

And so with the other skandhas, whether vedanā, or sanjñā, or saṃskāra, or vijñāna, (they are each the same as their opposite).

Śāriputra.

All these things around us (ye dhammā) being thus stript or devoid of qualities (lakṣhaṇa), there can be no longer birth or death, defilement or purity, addition or destruction. In the midst then of this void (ākāśa), there can be neither rūpa, vedanā, sanjñā, saṃskāra, or vijñāna (*i.e.*, neither of the five skandha), nor yet organs of sense, whether the eye, or nose, ear, or tongue, body or mind (chitta), nor yet objects of sense, *i.e.* matter (rūpa), or sound, odour, or taste, touch, or ideas (chaitta), nor yet categories of sense (dhātu), such as the union of the object and subject in sight, in smell, in touch, in taste, in apprehension.

So there will be no such thing as ignorance (avidyā), nor yet freedom from ignorance, and therefore there can be none of its consequences (*viz.*, the twelve nidānas. Colebrooke, p. 255); and therefore no such thing as decay or death (jarā or maraṇa), nor yet freedom from decay and death. So neither can there be a method (or way) for destroying the concourse of sorrows. No such thing as wisdom, and no such thing as attaining (happiness or rest), as there will not be ought that can be attained.

The Bodhisatwa resting on this Prajñā Pāramitā, no sorrow or obstruction can then affect his heart, for there will be no

such thing as sorrow or obstruction. Therefore, having no fear or apprehension of evil, removing far from him all the distorting influences of illusive thought, he arrives at the goal of Nirváṇa.

The Buddhas of the three ages, relying on this Prajná Páramitá, have arrived at the "unsurpassed and enlightened" condition (*samyak-sambodhi*).

Therefore we know that this Prajná Páramitá is the Great Spiritual Dháráṇí,—it is the Great Light-giving Dháráṇí. This is the unsurpassed Dháráṇí. This is the unequalled Dháráṇí, able to destroy all sorrows. True and real, (*i.e.*, full of meaning), not vain (*i.e.*, unmeaning). Therefore we repeat (or let us repeat) the Prajná Páramitá Dháráṇí.

Then also say—

Ki-tai, Ki-tai,
P'o-lo, Ki-tai,
P'o-lo-seng-Kitai,
Bo-tái-sah-po-ho.

i.e. [according to M. Julien's system]:

Gati, Gati, Paragati, Parasangati, Bodhisatvah,
[words I cannot attempt to explain.]
